97-80

Jul 3, 2007

FCC Public Comments 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

Federal Communications Commission As a consumer interested in protecting competition, innovation, and legitimate use of cable TV content, I urge you to refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1) by NCTA, Charter, Verizon, and all other cable providers. The FCC's integration ban, which in effect requires cable companies to integrate CableCARDs into their own set-top boxes, remains good policy today.

Now ten years after the Telecommunications Act of 1996, cable companies have dragged their feet long enough on competitive alternatives to proprietary set-top boxes, thus hampering innovation and harming consumers. The integration ban will also help market competition prevent further restrictions on cable subscribers' ability to make legitimate use of recorded content.

By adopting content protection limits (encoding rules) in docket no. 97-80, the Commission recognized the importance of allowing consumers to make certain uses of TV content, regardless of a particular cable provider's or copyright holder's wishes. With competition spurred on by the integration ban, consumers would have the freedom to choose the least restrictive cable-compatible device available. The CableCARD standard already prescribes restrictions that harm consumers by limiting non-infringing uses, and such restrictions will get even worse if cable providers' set-top boxes are unchecked by competition.

Please refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1).

Sincerely,

Mr. Juan Alday 201 W 70th St Apt 11A New York, NY 10023-4333

No. of Copies rec'd_	0	
List A B C D E		

INQUIRY FOR JUAN ALDAY

Complaint Type:Cal	ble	Account Type: Residential	Congressional	
IC Number:	07-F0279751	Case Type:	Inquiry	
Date Received:	07/10/2007	Complainant:	Juan Alday	
Date Entered:	07/12/2007	Date Assigned:	07/12/2007	
Entered By:	PORTALSV1	Date Reassigned:		
Assigned To:	Judy Camel/FCCIN	Service Date:		
Date Closed:	07/13/2007	Response Date:		
Closed By:	Judy Camel	Original Analyst:		
Close Letter Needed?	○ Yes ● Na	Purged By:	Purged Date:	
- 1		Removed By:	Removed Date:	
Supervisor Check:	○ Yes ● No	Indecency Referral Code:	<u> </u>	
Apparent Carrier(s): Yes<<< Check hi Problem Number:		Wireline and Wireless carrier.		
Title: None	First Name: Juan	Middle Initial:	Last Name: Alday	
Contact Name:	Juan Alday	Best Time to Call:		
Contact Number: Fax Number:	Ext.	Consumer's Telephone Number TTY Number:	er: Ext.	
Email Address:		Internet Address:		
PO Box:		Address:	201 W 70Th St Apt 11A	
City:	New York	State: NY	Zip : 10023-4333	
On Behalf Of:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Company Name:				
Party's Name:		Relationship with the	Party:	
Party's Contact N	umber: Ext.	PO Box: Address:	PO Box:	
		City:State:Zip:		
Other Party that ca	an be contacted?			
		Relationship: Address:		
Contact Number: Ext.		City:, State: Zip:		
**Amount of credit F	CC effort generated:			
		Duplicate Credit Checked:	∵ Yes ● No	

Have you paid any of the disputed charges?

Did the company billing for these charges adjust or refund some or all of the disputed charges?

If yes, what was the amount of the adjustment or refund?

b. Telephone number for the carrier(s) or company(ies) involved

with your complaint, including area code:

c. Which type of service is involved with your complaint:

Phone: Ext: